Summary Sheet: Two Key Concepts for Nucleophilic Substitution on Carbonyls plus a third bonus concept.

Predicting the rate of reaction at a carbonyl and the fate of the resulting tetrahedral intermediate depend on understanding two key concepts: 1. The electronic nature of the atoms adjoining the carbonyl. 2. Steric bulk around the carbonyl.

Key Concept #1: The importance of electronic factors

Take the simplest carbonyl: formaldehyde It undergoes one type of reaction: nucleophilic addition.

$$\overset{\delta^{\bigcirc}}{\overset{H}{\underset{s_{\oplus}\oplus}}}_{H_{s_{\oplus}\oplus}}H \xrightarrow{Nu^{\bigcirc}M^{\oplus}}_{H_{s_{\oplus}}}H \xrightarrow{O^{\bigcirc}M^{\oplus}}_{H_{s_{\oplus}}}H$$

What happens when you substitute one of the hydrogens on formaldehyde for a different functional group?

 $\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{O} \\ \mathsf{H} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mathsf{X} = \mathsf{OH}, \, \mathsf{OR}, \, \mathsf{OAc}, \, \mathsf{NH}_2, \, \mathsf{NHR}, \, \mathsf{NR}_2, \, \mathsf{NHAc}, \\ \mathsf{CI}, \, \mathsf{Br}, \, \mathsf{I}, \, \mathsf{CH}_3, \, \mathsf{R}, \, \mathsf{CCI}_3, \, \mathsf{SR}, \, \mathsf{etc.} \end{array}$

The rate of the reaction will depend on two key factors.

1) Electronegative functional groups make the carbonyl carbon more electropositive, which increases the rate of reaction with nucleophiles.



Increasing reaction rate w/ nucleophiles

2) Donation of π -electrons by the X group makes the carbonyl carbon *less* electropositive, which decreases the rate of reaction with nucleophiles.

resonance form

relatively unimportant resonance form

Does this discussion seem familiar from electrophilic aromatic substitution? It should! It's the same principle! Recall from electrophilic aromatic substitution:

Strongly activating	Moderately deactivating
NH ₂ , NHR, NR ₂ , OH, O ^C	CO ₂ H, CO ₂ R, CN
Moderately activating	CHO, COR
NHCOCH ₃ , NHCOR, OCH ₃ , O	OR Strongly Deactivating*
Weakly activating CH_3 , C_2H_5 , R, C_6H_5	CF ₃ , CCl ₃ *I left off NO ₂ and SO ₃ H, which are important in electrophilic aromatic
Weakly Deactivating*	substitution but not here;
F, Cl, Br, I	in general, carbonyl groups attached to
deactivating due to	these functional groups are too
electronegativity but	unstable toward hydrolysis to be
are π -donors	synthetically useful.

Note: look at the postition of OH and O^{\bigcirc} . They are extremely strong π -donors. This is why carboxylic acids are resistant to attack under basic conditions; strong π -donation by O^{\bigcirc} into the carbonyl makes it extremely resistant toward nucleophilic attack.

Just like with electrophilic aromatic substitution, π -donation trumps electronegativity. The stronger the π -donor, the more resistant the carbonyl will be towards nucleophilic attack.

The presence of donating groups makes the carbonyl carbon less electrophilic and

The carbonyl is the most nucleophilic (and Lewis basic) site. Therefore, it is protonated first.

A good proxy for donating ability is pKa, especially when the atom attached to the carbonyl is the same.

increasing susceptibility toward nucleophilic attack

94

7

pKa of ~16 10

alcohol

The tetrahedral intermediate can undergo further reaction



How can you predict what will happen?

Leaving group ability is directly related to the pKa of the conjugate acid.

l > Br	> Cl >	> OAc	: > OAr >	OH*, OMe	> NRAc >	NR ₂ :	> H > C	H ₃ , R	
good			moderate		poor	poor		these groups never leave	
-11 -9	-7	4	10	15	17	35	~40	~50	
				\sim					

pKa of conjugate acid *remember, however, that under basic conditions OH will be O^- which means it would have to leave as O^{2-} : an extremely bad leaving group

Advice: Know your pKa's cold. They are a great measure of leaving group ability and also of the electron donating ability of the conjugate base, which comes up again and again when discussing chemical reactivity.



Key concept #2: The importance of steric factors

Formaldehyde again: as the hydrogens are replaced with progressively bulkier groups, the reaction rate goes down.

increasing steric hindrance adjacent to the carbonyl

Why? Recall the antibonding (π^*) orbitals of the carbonyl group.

The alkyl groups stand in the way of the trajectory of the nucleophile toward the carbonyl's empty π^* orbital.

Nucleophile attacks here

The same concept applies for the rate of reaction of nucleophiles with esters and amides:



A final important concept .: Lewis acids make carbonyls more electrophilic



Lewis acid promotes greater contribution from this more reactive resonance form

For example, in the Fischer esterification, the first step is protonation of the carboxylic acid:

$$\begin{array}{c|c} OH & OH \\ OH & H^{+}X^{-} & OH \\ OH & X^{-} \end{array}$$
 not
$$\begin{array}{c} OH \\ OG \\ OH \\ G^{+}X^{-} \end{array}$$

Ν

The protonated carbonyl, which is now more electrophilic, reacts with the relatively weak nucleophile, the alcohol:_____

$$\begin{array}{c} OH \\ \mathsf{he} & \mathsf{he} \\ \mathsf{he} & \mathsf{he} \\ \mathsf$$

Note in this example that the reaction between the Lewis acid (HCI) and nucleophile (EtOH) is reversibile under the reaction conditions, whereas using , say, a Grignard reagent would result in irreversible protonation of the nucleophile (i.e. no reaction). Compatibility between Lewis acids and nucleophiles is treated with more detail in advanced level organic chemistry courses.

> version 1.0, 3/25/10 copyright James Ashenhurst, 2010. suggestions/questions/comments? james@writechem.com masterorganicchemistry.wordpress.com

In general more nucleophilic = less electrophilic = faster rate of reaction with electrophiles = higher electron density = more Lewis basic *= less Lewis acidic* = more electron rich = less electropositive more electrophilic = less nucleophilic = faster rate of reaction with nucleophiles = lower electron density = less Lewis basic* = more Lewis acidic* = less electron rich = more electropositive

* caveat: acidity/basicity is the position of a thermodynamic equilibrium, while nucleophilicity is measured by reaction rate